VZCZCXRO6165

OO RUEHDBU RUEHFL RUEHKW RUEHLA RUEHROV RUEHSR
DE RUEHBW #0208/01 0441433
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
O 131433Z FEB 07
FM AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0247
INFO RUEHZL/EUROPEAN POLITICAL COLLECTIVE PRIORITY
RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC PRIORITY
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC PRIORITY 1298
RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC PRIORITY
RXFEAA/JFC NAPLES PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 04 BELGRADE 000208

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

DEPT FOR EUR/SCE, NSC FOR B. BRAUN

E.O. 12958: DECL: 02/09/2017
TAGS: <u>PGOV PREL PBTS PHUM KPAO YI MW SR</u>

SUBJECT: AMBASSADOR WISNER'S FEB 6 VISIT TO BELGRADE

Classified By: Ambassador Michael C. Polt for reasons 1.4(b) & (D)

11. (C) Summary: In his 2/6 meetings in Belgrade, Ambassador Wisner affirmed full USG support for Ahtisaari and his proposal and urged Serbian leaders to commit fully to the UNOSEK negotiations as the Kosovo status process moves into its final stage. President Tadic offered assurances that he would engage "personally" while PM Kostunica was more ambiguous. G17 Plus leader Dinkic appears ready to (only) follow the lead to engage in talks. All leaders said that Serbia would not be able to participate in Kosovo negotiations until parliament convened and granted a mandate to a new team, something they all said would be impossible by Ahtisaari's suggested negotiations beginning the week of 2/13. Wisner also firmly rebuffed hints at partition from Dinkic and even some progressive Serbs at lunch, reaffirming complete USG support for Contact Group principles against the partition, saying it would "extinguish Serbian life" in Kosovo. End Summary.

President Tadic

- 12. (C) President Tadic was calm, self-assured and well-prepared, closely following a written script. Though the meeting was entirely about Kosovo, the President framed his remarks in the context of his commitment to moving Serbia forward to closer integration with NATO and the EU -- something he called a "strategic imperative" for his country. He thanked the USG for our help on the PfP decision, which he called an "extremely important boost" helping to achieve the best ever result for the DS in parliamentary elections. He said he was committed to forming a government that would include all democratic parties, even if some parties had vowed not to work together. As soon as a government was formed he would move Serbia closer to NATO. The DS would be the dominant player in a new government with the right priorities: peace, a reform orientation, full cooperation with ICTY, and quick Euro-Atlantic integration.
- 13. (C) On Kosovo negotiations on the Ahtisaari plan, Tadic said that as President he would insist that Serbia be "totally engaged" with a negotiating team that had "renewed legitimacy." He then proceeded to lay out the next steps for moving forward: rerun of elections in six polling stations by the end of the week, quick completion of other technical and legal requirements for constituting a new parliament (which he suggested could be done as early as February 12), a decision by parliament to either confirm or reappoint the negotiating team, and finally debate in the parliament of the Ahtisaari proposal that would define the platform for Serbia in Vienna.

- 14. (C) Tadic said that the current caretaker government did not have a mandate to engage in negotiations and that the current negotiating team was "legally dissolved." He said he believed that the parties had all expressed to him their full support for engaging in Vienna and he did not believe they would put up any roadblocks in convening parliament or in the ensuing debate on the proposal. He thought the Radicals would not seek to join the team but he acknowledged that other parties, including Milosevic,s Socialist party, had requested to be represented. The Radicals, he surmised, only wanted a chance to make a statement in parliament. In response to Wisner,s question about whether he would be personally engaged, Tadic did not hesitate: "Serbia will participate and I will participate; I need your country,s support on this." That said, in reaffirming his public stance that the independence of Kosovo was unacceptable to him, Tadic did not offer a way to square his equally unequivocal commitment to the Euro-Atlantic relationship with Kosovo solution rejection.
- 15. (C) Wisner acknowledged the historical importance of the moment and the complicated decision that he faced. He affirmed the common views in Washington that strong US-Serbia relations are a high priority and that Tadic has the talent and determination to secure the best for Serbia's future. He told Tadic that he had fully understood his plan for moving forward on Kosovo talks and supported it. Wisner then reviewed the Ahtisaari proposal, describing its different parts, noting our strong support and collaboration, and highlighting its value and importance in "settling the Kosovo problem" and opening the door to the right kind of relationship between Serbia and the USG.

BELGRADE 00000208 002 OF 004

16. (C) Tadic raised some troubling reports he had received about Albanian extremists in Kosovo and the threat they posed to stability and to moving forward in the negotiations. Wisner said that the international community had carefully considered all the contingencies and explained how that thinking had translated into the proposal, i.e. the four month UNMIK transition period, the roles for NATO and the ESPD element on the police side, and the international civilian office. Some of these reports were politically inspired scare talk and not fully reflective of the reality on the ground: he asked Tadic to carefully evaluate the information he was receiving to distinguish which was which. Wisner said the USG expected that Tadic would use all of the services/authority at his disposal to separate rumor from reality and to do everything to maintain calm and prevent problems. Wisner urged Tadic to keep an "open line" between his CHOD and COMKFOR, which Tadic assured was also his priority.

Prime Minister Kostunica

17. (C) The Prime Minister was far more ambiguous about how long the delay might be and completely dodged repeated questions about how Serbia would engage in Vienna. While there was no specific request for a postponement of the 2/13 date for the next Vienna round, there was a clear suggestion that a short postponement was needed. Kostunica began the discussion noting new developments in Serbia "Constitution and elections" followed by an uncharitable and inaccurate characterization of the Ahtisaari proposal. He said that Ahtisaari had overstepped his mandate by taking away a part of Serbia,s territory and ignoring a international law; he complained about the way in which Ahtisaari had structured the negotiations and the few opportunities that Belgrade had been given to discuss the status issue. The Prime Minister again referred to the Badinter report, noting that Ahtisaari had ignored it entirely with the proposal's unambiguous support for independence. That the plan does not mention

independence "means nothing" and said it would be "a tautology" to have included such a reference. Kostunica proclaimed that the entire Ahtisaari proposal had nothing to do with the Vienna negotiations and it does not resemble "an outcome" of those talks.

- 18. (C) Wisner responded to each point challenging the Prime Minister,s arguments and refuting his characterization of a complicated process that Ahtisaari had managed with care, transparency and integrity. He said Ahtisaari,s proposal "stems directly" from the negotiations on all matters on all negotiated issues between the sides. Wisner clarified that arrangements between international bodies such as the UN, EU, and NATO were up to the concerned bodies. If there were details that had been decided without full consideration of Serbia,s position, it was not for want of trying but a result of Serbia,s uneven engagement.
- 19. (C) Kostunica reviewed the discussions from Tadic,s meeting yesterday with all political parties. He said it was clear that the competencies of the negotiating team had expired and that his caretaker government was only authorized to deal with technical issues. It was essential that the Serb parliament consider the Ahtisaari proposal, confirm and/or appoint a new negotiating team and debate the proposal and establish a platform for negotiating in Vienna. The follow up negotiations could only be managed in the context of Serbia,s constitutional and political requirements, not under the threat of a time line. Serbia had moved forward in a number of important ways: with a new constitution, with parliamentary elections, and was now in the midst of a process that would not take so long, months at the most.
- 110. (C) Wisner pressed him on his thoughts on how Serbia might engage in Vienna beyond the constitutional and technical difficulties. Kostunica complained about the proposal, distinguishing between the annexes, which dealt with practical issues and the first part of the proposal which suggested independence. He complained about the arbitrary way in which the two parts had been combined and presented, so quickly after elections. In fact, Kostunica called it "strange" that Ahtisaari had decided to postpone presenting his proposal until after Serbian elections "with no consultations with us," going so far as to say, "if a